103d CONGRESS H. CON. RES. 218

AMENDMENT

In the Senate of the United States,

March 25 (legislative day, February 22), 1994.

Resolved, That the resolution from the House of Representatives (H. Con. Res. 218) entitled "Concurrent resolution setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for the fiscal years 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, and 1999" do pass with the following

AMENDMENT:

Strike out all after the resolving clause and insert:

- 1 SECTION 1. CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET
- 2 **FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995.**
- 3 (a) Declaration.—The Congress determines and de-
- 4 clares that this resolution is the concurrent resolution on
- 5 the budget for fiscal year 1995, including the appropriate
- 6 budgetary levels for fiscal years 1996, 1997, 1998, and
- 7 1999, as required by section 301 of the Congressional Budg-
- 8 et Act of 1974.
- 9 (b) Table of Contents for
- 10 this concurrent resolution is as follows:
 - Sec. 1. Concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1995.

TITLE I—LEVELS AND AMOUNTS

- Sec. 2. Recommended levels and amounts.
- Sec. 3. Debt increase as a measure of deficit.
- Sec. 4. Display of Federal Retirement Trust Fund balances.
- Sec. 5. Social Security.
- Sec. 6. Major functional categories.

TITLE II—BUDGETARY PROCEDURES

- Sec. 21. Sale of Government assets.
- Sec. 22. Social security fire wall point of order in the Senate.
- Sec. 23. Enforcing pay-as-you-go.
- Sec. 24. Deficit-neutral reserve fund in the Senate.
- Sec. 25. Enforcement procedures.
- Sec. 26. Exercise of rule-making powers.

TITLE III—SENSE OF CONGRESS PROVISIONS

- Sec. 31. Sense of the Congress regarding the budgetary accounting of health care reform.
- Sec. 32. Sense of the Congress on the costs of illegal immigration.
- Sec. 33. Sense of the Congress regarding baselines.
- Sec. 34. Sense of the Congress on economic assumptions.
- Sec. 35. Sense of the Congress regarding unfunded Federal mandates.
- Sec. 36. Closing of loopholes in foreign tax provisions.
- Sec. 37. Sense of the Senate regarding tax expenditures.
- Sec. 38. Sense of the Congress regarding health service delivery and water infrastructure in the Indian Health Service.
- Sec. 39. Sense of the Senate regarding the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.
- Sec. 40. Sense of the Senate regarding a balanced budget and the Spending Reduction Commission.
- Sec. 41. Minimum allocation program.
- Sec. 42. Sense of Senate on payments to United Nations of United States arrearages in contributions for peacekeeping activities.
- Sec. 43. Policy in Eastern and Central Europe.
- Sec. 44. Sense of the Senate regarding Federal courthouse construction.
- Sec. 45. Sense of the congress regarding Federal law enforcement personnel.
- Sec. 46. Sense of Senate that taxes not be increased because taxpayers are married.
- Sec. 47. Sense of the Senate regarding certain Department of Energy reductionsin-force.
- Sec. 48. Sense of the Congress regarding minerals management.
- Sec. 49. Sense of Senate regarding diesel fuel dyeing regulations.
- Sec. 50. Sense of the Senate regarding equitable distribution of reductions in discretionary spending.
- Sec. 51. Star Wars (ballistic missile defense).
- Sec. 52. Control growth of entitlement or mandatory spending.
- Sec. 53. Sense of the Congress on shifting the allocation of anti-drug funds from international anti-drug programs to drug treatment and prevention programs.
- Sec. 54. Internal Revenue Service compliance initiative.
- Sec. 55. Sense of the Senate on controlling non-social security mandatory spending.

1 TITLE I—LEVELS AND AMOUNTS

- 2 SEC. 2. RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS.
- 3 The following budgetary levels are appropriate for the
- 4 fiscal years 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, and 1999:

1	(1) Federal revenues.—(A) For purposes of
2	comparison with the maximum deficit amount under
3	sections 601(a)(1) and 606 of the Congressional Budg-
4	et Act of 1974 and for purposes of the enforcement of
5	this resolution—
6	(i) The recommended levels of Federal reve-
7	nues are as follows:
8	Fiscal year 1995: \$977,700,000,000.
9	Fiscal year 1996: \$1,031,200,000,000.
10	Fiscal year 1997: \$1,079,700,000,000.
11	Fiscal year 1998: \$1,136,400,000,000.
12	Fiscal year 1999: \$1,190,200,000,000.
13	(ii) The amounts by which the aggregate
14	levels of Federal revenues should be increased are
15	as follows:
16	Fiscal year 1995: \$0.
17	Fiscal year 1996: \$0.
18	Fiscal year 1997: \$0.
19	Fiscal year 1998: \$0.
20	Fiscal year 1999: \$0.
21	(iii) The amounts for Federal Insurance
22	Contributions Act revenues for hospital insur-
23	ance within the recommended levels of Federal
24	revenues are as follows:
25	Fiscal year 1995: \$100,300,000,000.

1	Fiscal year 1996: \$106,300,000,000.
2	Fiscal year 1997: \$111,900,000,000.
3	Fiscal year 1998: \$117,830,000,000.
4	Fiscal year 1999: \$123,700,000,000.
5	(B) For purposes of section 710 of the Social Se-
6	curity Act (excluding the receipts and disbursements
7	of the Hospital Insurance Trust Fund)—
8	(i) The recommended levels of Federal reve-
9	nues are as follows:
10	Fiscal year 1995: \$877,500,000,000.
11	Fiscal year 1996: \$924,800,000,000.
12	Fiscal year 1997: \$967,800,000,000.
13	Fiscal year 1998: \$1,018,600,000,000.
14	Fiscal year 1999: \$1,066,500,000,000.
15	(ii) The amounts by which the aggregate
16	levels of Federal revenues should be increased are
17	as follows:
18	Fiscal year 1995: \$0.
19	Fiscal year 1996: \$0.
20	Fiscal year 1997: \$0.
21	Fiscal year 1998: \$0.
22	Fiscal year 1999: \$0.
23	(2) New budget authority.—(A) For purposes
24	of comparison with the maximum deficit amount
25	under sections 601(a)(1) and 606 of the Congressional

1	Budget Act of 1974 and for purposes of the enforce-
2	ment of this resolution, the appropriate levels of total
3	new budget authority are as follows:
4	Fiscal year 1995: \$1,242,400,000,000.
5	Fiscal year 1996: \$1,303,500,000,000.
6	Fiscal year 1997: \$1,368,600,000,000.
7	Fiscal year 1998: \$1,437,900,000,000.
8	Fiscal year 1999: \$1,509,600,000,000.
9	(B) For purposes of section 710 of the Social Se-
10	curity Act (excluding the receipts and disbursements
11	of the Hospital Insurance Trust Fund), the appro-
12	priate levels of total new budget authority are as fol-
13	lows:
14	Fiscal year 1995: \$1,149,200,000,000.
15	Fiscal year 1996: \$1,202,300,000,000.
16	Fiscal year 1997: \$1,257,000,000,000.
17	Fiscal year 1998: \$1,315,000,000,000.
18	Fiscal year 1999: \$1,372,300,000,000.
19	(3) Budget outlays.—(A) For purposes of
20	comparison with the maximum deficit amount under
21	sections 601(a)(1) and 606 of the Congressional Budg-
22	et Act of 1974 and for purposes of the enforcement of
23	this resolution, the appropriate levels of total budget
24	outlays are as follows:
25	Fiscal year 1995: \$1,216,300,000,000.

1	Fiscal year 1996: \$1,283,200,000,000.
2	Fiscal year 1997: \$1,352,500,000,000.
3	Fiscal year 1998: \$1,412,000,000,000.
4	Fiscal year 1999: \$1,485,100,000,000.
5	(B) For purposes of section 710 of the Social Se-
6	curity Act (excluding the receipts and disbursements
7	of the Hospital Insurance Trust Fund), the appro-
8	priate levels of total budget outlays are as follows:
9	Fiscal year 1995: \$1,124,000,000,000.
10	Fiscal year 1996: \$1,183,200,000,000.
11	Fiscal year 1997: \$1,241,900,000,000.
12	Fiscal year 1998: \$1,290,700,000,000.
13	Fiscal year 1999: \$1,349,600,000,000.
14	(4) Deficits.—(A) For purposes of comparison
15	with the maximum deficit amount under sections
16	601(a)(1) and 606 of the Congressional Budget Act of
17	1974 and for purposes of the enforcement of this reso-
18	lution, the amounts of the deficits are as follows:
19	Fiscal year 1995: \$238,600,000,000.
20	Fiscal year 1996: \$252,000,000,000.
21	Fiscal year 1997: \$272,800,000,000.
22	Fiscal year 1998: \$275,600,000,000.
23	Fiscal year 1999: \$294,900,000,000.
24	(B) For purposes of section 710 of the Social Se-
25	curity Act (excluding the receipts and disbursements

1	of the Hospital Insurance Trust Fund), the amounts
2	of the deficits are as follows:
3	Fiscal year 1995: \$246,600,000,000.
4	Fiscal year 1996: \$258,300,000,000.
5	Fiscal year 1997: \$274,100,000,000.
6	Fiscal year 1998: \$272,100,000,000.
7	Fiscal year 1999: \$283,100,000,000.
8	(5) Public debt.—The appropriate levels of the
9	public debt are as follows:
10	Fiscal year 1995: \$4,963,600,000,000.
11	Fiscal year 1996: \$5,278,800,000,000.
12	Fiscal year 1997: \$5,611,200,000,000.
13	Fiscal year 1998: \$5,945,400,000,000.
14	Fiscal year 1999: \$6,289,700,000,000.
15	(6) Direct loan obligations.—The appro-
16	priate levels of total new direct loan obligations are
17	as follows:
18	Fiscal year 1995: \$26,700,000,000.
19	Fiscal year 1996: \$32,100,000,000.
20	Fiscal year 1997: \$33,800,000,000.
21	Fiscal year 1998: \$35,700,000,000.
22	Fiscal year 1999: \$37,800,000,000.
23	(7) Primary loan guarantee commit-
24	MENTS.—The appropriate levels of new primary loan
25	guarantee commitments are as follows:

1	Fiscal year 1995: \$199,700,000,000.
2	Fiscal year 1996: \$174,400,000,000.
3	Fiscal year 1997: \$164,600,000,000.
4	Fiscal year 1998: \$164,100,000,000.
5	Fiscal year 1999: \$163,500,000,000.
6	SEC. 3. DEBT INCREASE AS A MEASURE OF DEFICIT.
7	The amounts of the increase in the public debt subject
8	to limitation are as follows:
9	Fiscal year 1995: \$306,700,000,000.
10	Fiscal year 1996: \$315,200,000,000.
11	Fiscal year 1997: \$332,400,000,000.
12	Fiscal year 1998: \$334,200,000,000.
13	Fiscal year 1999: \$344,200,000,000.
14	SEC. 4. DISPLAY OF FEDERAL RETIREMENT TRUST FUND
15	BALANCES.
16	The balances of the Federal retirement trust funds are
17	
1 /	as follows:
18	as follows: Fiscal year 1995: \$1,161,100,000,000.
18	Fiscal year 1995: \$1,161,100,000,000.
18 19	Fiscal year 1995: \$1,161,100,000,000. Fiscal year 1996: \$1,275,200,000,000.
18 19 20	Fiscal year 1995: \$1,161,100,000,000. Fiscal year 1996: \$1,275,200,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$1,396,900,000,000.
18 19 20 21	Fiscal year 1995: \$1,161,100,000,000. Fiscal year 1996: \$1,275,200,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$1,396,900,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$1,524,200,000,000.
18 19 20 21 22	Fiscal year 1995: \$1,161,100,000,000. Fiscal year 1996: \$1,275,200,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$1,396,900,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$1,524,200,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$1,651,300,000,000.

- 1 gressional Budget Act of 1974, the amounts of revenues of
- 2 the Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance Trust Fund
- 3 and the Federal Disability Insurance Trust Fund are as
- 4 follows:
- 5 Fiscal year 1995: \$360,500,000,000.
- 6 Fiscal year 1996: \$379,600,000,000.
- 7 Fiscal year 1997: \$399,000,000,000.
- 8 Fiscal year 1998: \$419,500,000,000.
- 9 Fiscal year 1999: \$439,800,000,000.
- 10 (b) Social Security Outlays.—For purposes of
- 11 Senate enforcement under sections 302 and 311 of the Con-
- 12 gressional Budget Act of 1974, the amounts of outlays of
- 13 the Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance Trust Fund
- 14 and the Federal Disability Insurance Trust Fund are as
- 15 follows:
- 16 Fiscal year 1995: \$287,600,000,000.
- 17 Fiscal year 1996: \$301,300,000,000.
- 18 Fiscal year 1997: \$312,300,000,000.
- 19 Fiscal year 1998: \$324,400,000,000.
- 20 Fiscal year 1999: \$337,000,000,000.
- 21 SEC. 6. MAJOR FUNCTIONAL CATEGORIES.
- The Congress determines and declares that the appro-
- 23 priate levels of new budget authority, budget outlays, new
- 24 direct loan obligations, and new primary loan guarantee

```
commitments for fiscal years 1995 through 1999 for each
   major functional category are:
              (1) National Defense (050):
 3
                  Fiscal year 1995:
                                                    authority,
                                         budget
                       (A)
                                New
                  $263,800,000,000.
 6
                       (B) Outlays, $270,700,000,000.
 7
                       (C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
 8
                       (D) New primary loan guarantee com-
 9
10
                  mitments, $0.
                  Fiscal year 1996:
11
                       (A)
                                        budget
                                                    authority,
12
                                New
                  $255,300,000,000.
13
14
                       (B) Outlays, $261,000,000,000.
                       (C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
15
                       (D) New primary loan guarantee com-
16
17
                  mitments, $0.
18
                  Fiscal year 1997:
                       (A)
                                        budget
19
                               New
                                                    authority,
20
                   $252,000,000,000.
                       (B) Outlays, $256,400,000,000.
21
                       (C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
22
23
                       (D) New primary loan guarantee com-
24
                  mitments, $0.
25
                  Fiscal year 1998:
```

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$258,700,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$256,600,000,000.
4	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
5	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
6	mitments, \$0.
7	Fiscal year 1999:
8	(A) New budget authority,
9	\$265,100,000,000.
10	(B) Outlays, \$257,600,000,000.
11	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
12	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
13	mitments, \$0.
14	(2) International Affairs (150):
15	Fiscal year 1995:
16	(A) New budget authority,
17	\$19,300,000,000.
18	(B) Outlays, \$18,100,000,000.
19	(C) New direct loan obligations,
20	\$3,200,000,000.
21	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
22	mitments, \$18,000,000,000.
23	Fiscal year 1996:
24	(A) New budget authority,
25	\$17,200,000,000.

1	(B) Outlays, \$17,300,000,000.
2	(C) New direct loan obligations,
3	\$2,800,000,000.
4	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
5	mitments, \$18,500,000,000.
6	Fiscal year 1997:
7	(A) New budget authority,
8	\$17,000,000,000.
9	(B) Outlays, \$17,300,000,000.
10	(C) New direct loan obligations,
11	\$2,600,000,000.
12	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
13	mitments, \$18,500,000,000.
14	Fiscal year 1998:
15	(A) New budget authority,
16	\$16,800,000,000.
17	(B) Outlays, \$17,600,000,000.
18	(C) New direct loan obligations,
19	\$2,400,000,000.
20	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
21	mitments, \$18,500,000,000.
22	Fiscal year 1999:
23	(A) New budget authority,
24	\$17,000,000,000.
25	(B) Outlays, \$17,500,000,000.

1		(C) New direct loan obligations,
2		\$2,400,000,000.
3		(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
4		mitments, \$16,500,000,000.
5	(3)	General Science, Space, and Technology
6	(250):	
7		Fiscal year 1995:
8		(A) New budget authority,
9		\$17,300,000,000.
10		(B) Outlays, \$17,200,000,000.
11		(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
12		(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
13		mitments, \$0.
14		Fiscal year 1996:
15		(A) New budget authority,
16		\$17,200,000,000.
17		(B) Outlays, \$17,200,000,000.
18		(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
19		(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
20		mitments, \$0.
21		Fiscal year 1997:
22		(A) New budget authority,
23		\$17,300,000,000.
24		(B) Outlays, \$17,300,000,000.
25		(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

1	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
2	mitments, \$0.
3	Fiscal year 1998:
4	(A) New budget authority,
5	\$17,400,000,000.
6	(B) Outlays, \$17,300,000,000.
7	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
8	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
9	mitments, \$0.
10	Fiscal year 1999:
11	(A) New budget authority,
12	\$17,600,000,000.
13	(B) Outlays, \$17,500,000,000.
14	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
15	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
16	mitments, \$0.
17	(4) Energy (270):
18	Fiscal year 1995:
19	(A) New budget authority,
20	\$6,300,000,000.
21	(B) Outlays, \$5,000,000,000.
22	(C) New direct loan obligations,
23	\$1,400,000,000.
24	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
25	mitments, \$0.

1	Fiscal year 1996:
2	(A) New budget authority,
3	\$5,900,000,000.
4	(B) Outlays, \$5,200,000,000.
5	(C) New direct loan obligations,
6	\$1,500,000,000.
7	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
8	mitments, \$0.
9	Fiscal year 1997:
10	(A) New budget authority,
11	\$5,900,000,000.
12	(B) Outlays, \$5,000,000,000.
13	(C) New direct loan obligations,
14	\$1,500,000,000.
15	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
16	mitments, \$0.
17	Fiscal year 1998:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$6,100,000,000.
20	(B) Outlays, \$4,700,000,000.
21	(C) New direct loan obligations,
22	\$1,500,000,000.
23	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
24	mitments, \$0.
25	Fiscal year 1999:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$5,700,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$4,400,000,000.
4	(C) New direct loan obligations,
5	\$1,500,000,000.
6	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
7	mitments, \$0.
8	(5) Natural Resources and Environment (300):
9	Fiscal year 1995:
10	(A) New budget authority,
11	\$21,700,000,000.
12	(B) Outlays, \$21,300,000,000.
13	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
14	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
15	mitments, \$0.
16	Fiscal year 1996:
17	(A) New budget authority,
18	\$22,200,000,000.
19	(B) Outlays, \$21,500,000,000.
20	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
21	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
22	mitments, \$0.
23	Fiscal year 1997:
24	(A) New budget authority,
25	\$22,100,000,000.

1	(B) Outlays, \$21,600,000,000.
2	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
3	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
4	mitments, \$0.
5	Fiscal year 1998:
6	(A) New budget authority,
7	\$22,000,000,000.
8	(B) Outlays, \$21,500,000,000.
9	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
10	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
11	mitments, \$0.
12	Fiscal year 1999:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	\$21,600,000,000.
15	(B) Outlays, \$21,400,000,000.
16	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
17	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
18	mitments, \$0.
19	(6) Agriculture (350):
20	Fiscal year 1995:
21	(A) New budget authority,
22	\$12,480,000,000.
23	(B) Outlays, \$11,780,000,000.
24	(C) New direct loan obligations,
25	\$10,100,000,000.

1	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
2	mitments, \$7,400,000,000.
3	Fiscal year 1996:
4	(A) New budget authority,
5	\$12,500,000,000.
6	(B) Outlays, \$11,400,000,000.
7	(C) New direct loan obligations,
8	\$9,700,000,000.
9	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
10	mitments, \$7,400,000,000.
11	Fiscal year 1997:
12	(A) New budget authority,
13	\$13,000,000,000.
14	(B) Outlays, \$11,700,000,000.
15	(C) New direct loan obligations,
16	\$9,700,000,000.
17	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
18	mitments, \$7,400,000,000.
19	Fiscal year 1998:
20	(A) New budget authority,
21	\$13,200,000,000.
22	(B) Outlays, \$12,000,000,000.
23	(C) New direct loan obligations,
24	\$9,800,000,000.

1	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
2	mitments, \$7,400,000,000.
3	Fiscal year 1999:
4	(A) New budget authority,
5	\$13,700,000,000.
6	(B) Outlays, \$12,500,000,000.
7	(C) New direct loan obligations,
8	\$9,900,000,000.
9	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
10	mitments, \$7,400,000,000.
11	(7) Commerce and Housing Credit (370):
12	Fiscal year 1995:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	\$7,700,000,000.
15	(B) Outlays, — \$8,300,000,000.
16	(C) New direct loan obligations,
17	\$2,800,000,000.
18	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
19	mitments, \$117,900,000,000.
20	Fiscal year 1996:
21	(A) New budget authority,
22	\$5,300,000,000.
23	(B) Outlays, — \$10,800,000,000.
24	(C) New direct loan obligations,
25	\$3,000,000,000.

1	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
2	mitments, \$103,200,000,000.
3	Fiscal year 1997:
4	(A) New budget authority,
5	\$5,100,000,000.
6	(B) Outlays, — \$3,400,000,000.
7	(C) New direct loan obligations,
8	\$3,100,000,000.
9	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
10	mitments, \$95,900,000,000.
11	Fiscal year 1998:
12	(A) New budget authority,
13	\$5,200,000,000.
14	(B) Outlays, — \$2,900,000,000.
15	(C) New direct loan obligations,
16	\$3,200,000,000.
17	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
18	mitments, \$96,600,000,000.
19	Fiscal year 1999:
20	(A) New budget authority,
21	\$6,200,000,000.
22	(B) Outlays, — \$900,000,000.
23	(C) New direct loan obligations,
24	\$3,400,000,000.

1	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
2	mitments, \$99,500,000,000.
3	(8) Transportation (400):
4	Fiscal year 1995:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$42,900,000,000.
7	(B) Outlays, \$38,800,000,000.
8	(C) New direct loan obligations,
9	\$100,000,000.
10	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
11	mitments, \$500,000,000.
12	Fiscal year 1996:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	\$41,800,000,000.
15	(B) Outlays, \$39,600,000,000.
16	(C) New direct loan obligations,
17	\$100,000,000.
18	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
19	mitments, \$0.
20	Fiscal year 1997:
21	(A) New budget authority,
22	\$43,200,000,000.
23	(B) Outlays, \$40,100,000,000.
24	(C) New direct loan obligations,
25	\$100,000,000.

1		(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
2		mitments, \$0.
3		Fiscal year 1998:
4		(A) New budget authority,
5		\$44,000,000,000.
6		(B) Outlays, \$40,300,000,000.
7		(C) New direct loan obligations,
8		\$100,000,000.
9		(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
10		mitments, \$0.
11		Fiscal year 1999:
12		(A) New budget authority,
13		\$44,600,000,000.
14		(B) Outlays, \$40,500,000,000.
15		(C) New direct loan obligations,
16		\$100,000,000.
17		(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
18		mitments, \$0.
19	(9)	Community and Regional Development
20	(450):	
21		Fiscal year 1995:
22		(A) New budget authority,
23		\$9,500,000,000.
24		(B) Outlays, \$9,300,000,000.

1	(C) New direct loan obligations,
2	\$2,200,000,000.
3	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
4	mitments, \$3,600,000,000.
5	Fiscal year 1996:
6	(A) New budget authority,
7	\$9,000,000,000.
8	(B) Outlays, \$8,900,000,000.
9	(C) New direct loan obligations,
10	\$2,200,000,000.
11	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
12	mitments, \$3,600,000,000.
13	Fiscal year 1997:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	\$9,000,000,000.
16	(B) Outlays, \$9,000,000,000.
17	(C) New direct loan obligations,
18	\$2,200,000,000.
19	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
20	mitments, \$3,600,000,000.
21	Fiscal year 1998:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$9,000,000,000.
24	(B) Outlays, \$9,100,000,000.

1	(C) New direct loan obligations,
2	\$2,200,000,000.
3	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
4	mitments, \$3,600,000,000.
5	Fiscal year 1999:
6	(A) New budget authority,
7	\$9,000,000,000.
8	(B) Outlays, \$9,000,000,000.
9	(C) New direct loan obligations,
10	\$2,200,000,000.
11	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
12	mitments, \$3,600,000,000.
13	(10) Education, Training, Employment, and So-
14	cial Services (500):
15	Fiscal year 1995:
16	(A) New budget authority,
17	\$57,920,000,000.
18	(B) Outlays, \$53,648,000,000.
19	(C) New direct loan obligations,
20	\$5,500,000,000.
21	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
22	mitments, \$19,000,000,000.
23	Fiscal year 1996:
24	(A) New budget authority,
25	\$58,200,000,000.

1	(B) Outlays, \$55,671,000,000.
2	(C) New direct loan obligations,
3	\$11,500,000,000.
4	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
5	mitments, \$14,000,000,000.
6	Fiscal year 1997:
7	(A) New budget authority,
8	\$59,900,000,000.
9	(B) Outlays, \$58,199,000,000.
10	(C) New direct loan obligations,
11	\$13,200,000,000.
12	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
13	mitments, \$13,200,000,000.
14	Fiscal year 1998:
15	(A) New budget authority,
16	\$61,700,000,000.
17	(B) Outlays, \$60,602,000,000.
18	(C) New direct loan obligations,
19	\$15,100,000,000.
20	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
21	mitments, \$12,300,000,000.
22	Fiscal year 1999:
23	(A) New budget authority,
24	\$63,200,000,000.
25	(B) Outlays, \$62,200,000,000.

1	(C) New direct loan obligations,
2	\$16,800,000,000.
3	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
4	mitments, \$11,200,000,000.
5	(11) Health (550):
6	Fiscal year 1995:
7	(A) New budget authority,
8	\$124,300,000,000.
9	(B) Outlays, \$122,730,000,000.
10	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
11	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
12	mitments, \$400,000,000.
13	Fiscal year 1996:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	\$136,703,000,000.
16	(B) Outlays, \$135,730,000,000.
17	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
18	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
19	mitments, \$300,000,000.
20	Fiscal year 1997:
21	(A) New budget authority,
22	\$151,006,000,000.
23	(B) Outlays, \$149,895,000,000.
24	(C) New direct loan obligations. \$0.

1	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
2	mitments, \$200,000,000.
3	Fiscal year 1998:
4	(A) New budget authority,
5	\$166,709,000,000.
6	(B) Outlays, \$165,453,000,000.
7	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
8	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
9	mitments, \$100,000,000.
10	Fiscal year 1999:
11	(A) New budget authority,
12	\$184,212,000,000.
13	(B) Outlays, \$182,556,000,000.
14	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
15	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
16	mitments, \$0.
17	(12) Medicare (570):
18	Fiscal year 1995:
19	(A) New budget authority,
20	\$162,400,000,000.
21	(B) Outlays, \$160,500,000,000.
22	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
23	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
24	mitments, \$0.
25	Fiscal year 1996:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$180,500,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$178,200,000,000.
4	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
5	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
6	mitments, \$0.
7	Fiscal year 1997:
8	(A) New budget authority,
9	\$198,500,000,000.
10	(B) Outlays, \$196,100,000,000.
11	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
12	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
13	mitments, \$0.
14	Fiscal year 1998:
15	(A) New budget authority,
16	\$217,700,000,000.
17	(B) Outlays, \$215,100,000,000.
18	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
19	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
20	mitments, \$0.
21	Fiscal year 1999:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$242,300,000,000.
24	(B) Outlays, \$239,000,000,000.
25	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

1	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
2	mitments, \$0.
3	(13) For purposes of section 710 of the Social Se-
4	curity Act, Federal Supplementary Medical Insurance
5	Trust Fund:
6	Fiscal year 1995:
7	(A) New budget authority,
8	\$56,000,000,000.
9	(B) Outlays, \$55,200,000,000.
10	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
11	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
12	mitments, \$0.
13	Fiscal year 1996:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	\$65,200,000,000.
16	(B) Outlays, \$64,200,000,000.
17	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
18	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
19	mitments, \$0.
20	Fiscal year 1997:
21	(A) New budget authority,
22	\$73,300,000,000.
23	(B) Outlays, \$72,200,000,000.
24	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

1	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
2	mitments, \$0.
3	Fiscal year 1998:
4	(A) New budget authority,
5	\$81,300,000,000.
6	(B) Outlays, \$80,200,000,000.
7	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
8	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
9	mitments, \$0.
10	Fiscal year 1999:
11	(A) New budget authority,
12	\$92,200,000,000.
13	(B) Outlays, \$90,900,000,000.
14	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
15	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
16	mitments, \$0.
17	(14) Income Security (600):
18	Fiscal year 1995:
19	(A) New budget authority,
20	\$220,225,000,000.
21	(B) Outlays, \$220,705,000,000.
22	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
23	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
24	mitments, \$0.
25	Fiscal year 1996:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$234,732,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$229,330,000,000.
4	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
5	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
6	mitments, \$0.
7	Fiscal year 1997:
8	(A) New budget authority,
9	\$249,339,000,000.
10	(B) Outlays, \$242,828,000,000.
11	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
12	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
13	mitments, \$0.
14	Fiscal year 1998:
15	(A) New budget authority,
16	\$261,246,000,000.
17	(B) Outlays, \$253,234,000,000.
18	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
19	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
20	mitments, \$0.
21	Fiscal year 1999:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$272,853,000,000.
24	(B) Outlays, \$264,440,000,000.
25	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

1	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
2	mitments, \$0.
3	(15) Social Security (650):
4	Fiscal year 1995:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$6,800,000,000.
7	(B) Outlays, \$9,400,000,000.
8	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
9	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
10	mitments, \$0.
11	Fiscal year 1996:
12	(A) New budget authority,
13	\$6,300,000,000.
14	(B) Outlays, \$9,400,000,000.
15	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
16	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
17	mitments, \$0.
18	Fiscal year 1997:
19	(A) New budget authority,
20	\$8,300,000,000.
21	(B) Outlays, \$11,500,000,000.
22	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
23	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
24	mitments, \$0.
25	Fiscal year 1998:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$9,000,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$12,300,000,000.
4	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
5	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
6	mitments, \$0.
7	Fiscal year 1999:
8	(A) New budget authority,
9	\$9,800,000,000.
10	(B) Outlays, \$13,200,000,000.
11	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
12	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
13	mitments, \$0.
14	(16) Veterans Benefits and Services (700):
15	Fiscal year 1995:
16	(A) New budget authority,
17	\$37,200,000,000.
18	(B) Outlays, \$36,600,000,000.
19	(C) New direct loan obligations,
19	
20	\$1,400,000,000.
20	\$1,400,000,000.
2021	\$1,400,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee com-
202122	\$1,400,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$32,900,000,000.

1	(B) Outlays, \$36,600,000,000.
2	(C) New direct loan obligations,
3	\$1,300,000,000.
4	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
5	mitments, \$27,400,000,000.
6	Fiscal year 1997:
7	(A) New budget authority,
8	\$38,500,000,000.
9	(B) Outlays, \$38,300,000,000.
10	(C) New direct loan obligations,
11	\$1,400,000,000.
12	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
13	mitments, \$25,800,000,000.
14	Fiscal year 1998:
15	(A) New budget authority,
16	\$38,600,000,000.
17	(B) Outlays, \$38,500,000,000.
18	(C) New direct loan obligations,
19	\$1,400,000,000.
20	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
21	mitments, \$25,600,000,000.
22	Fiscal year 1999:
23	(A) New budget authority,
24	\$39,700,000,000.
25	(B) Outlays, \$39,600,000,000.

1	(C) New direct loan obligations,
2	\$1,500,000,000.
3	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
4	mitments, \$25,300,000,000.
5	(17) Administration of Justice (750):
6	Fiscal year 1995:
7	(A) New budget authority,
8	\$18,823,000,000.
9	(B) Outlays, \$17,255,000,000.
10	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
11	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
12	mitments, \$0.
13	Fiscal year 1996:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	\$21,326,000,000.
16	(B) Outlays, \$19,406,000,000.
17	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
18	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
19	mitments, \$0.
20	Fiscal year 1997:
21	(A) New budget authority,
22	\$22,129,000,000.
23	(B) Outlays, \$21,068,000,000.
24	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

1	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
2	mitments, \$0.
3	Fiscal year 1998:
4	(A) New budget authority,
5	\$23,232,000,000.
6	(B) Outlays, \$22,491,000,000.
7	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
8	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
9	mitments, \$0.
10	Fiscal year 1999:
11	(A) New budget authority,
12	\$24,535,000,000.
13	(B) Outlays, \$23,493,000,000.
14	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
15	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
16	mitments, \$0.
17	(18) General Government (800):
18	Fiscal year 1995:
19	(A) New budget authority,
20	\$14,000,000,000.
21	(B) Outlays, \$13,700,000,000.
22	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
23	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
24	mitments, \$0.
25	Fiscal year 1996:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$13,500,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$14,700,000,000.
4	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
5	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
6	mitments, \$0.
7	Fiscal year 1997:
8	(A) New budget authority,
9	\$13,400,000,000.
10	(B) Outlays, \$13,900,000,000.
11	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
12	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
13	mitments, \$0.
14	Fiscal year 1998:
15	(A) New budget authority,
16	\$13,100,000,000.
17	(B) Outlays, \$13,400,000,000.
18	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
19	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
20	mitments, \$0.
21	Fiscal year 1999:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$13,500,000,000.
24	(B) Outlays, \$13,500,000,000.
25	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

1	(D) Now primary loan guarantee com
	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
2	mitments, \$0.
3	(19) Net Interest (900):
4	Fiscal year 1995:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$247,100,000,000.
7	(B) Outlays, \$247,100,000,000.
8	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
9	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
10	mitments, \$0.
11	Fiscal year 1996:
12	(A) New budget authority,
13	\$267,100,000,000.
14	(B) Outlays, \$267,100,000,000.
15	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
16	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
17	mitments, \$0.
18	Fiscal year 1997:
19	(A) New budget authority,
20	\$282,500,000,000.
21	(B) Outlays, \$282,500,000,000.
22	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
23	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
24	mitments, \$0.
25	Fiscal year 1998:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$297,900,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$297,900,000,000.
4	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
5	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
6	mitments, \$0.
7	Fiscal year 1999:
8	(A) New budget authority,
9	\$314,700,000,000.
10	(B) Outlays, \$314,700,000,000.
11	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
12	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
13	mitments, \$0.
14	(20) For purposes of section 710 of the Social Se-
15	curity Act, Net Interest (900):
16	Fiscal year 1995:
17	(A) New budget authority,
18	\$257,600,000,000.
19	(B) Outlays, \$257,600,000,000.
20	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
21	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
22	mitments, \$0.
23	Fiscal year 1996:
24	(A) New budget authority,
25	\$277,800,000,000.

1	(B) Outlays, \$277,800,000,000.
2	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
3	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
4	mitments, \$0.
5	Fiscal year 1997:
6	(A) New budget authority,
7	\$293,300,000,000.
8	(B) Outlays, \$293,300,000,000.
9	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
10	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
11	mitments, \$0.
12	Fiscal year 1998:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	\$308,500,000,000.
15	(B) Outlays, \$308,500,000,000.
16	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
17	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
18	mitments, \$0.
19	Fiscal year 1999:
20	(A) New budget authority,
21	\$324,500,000,000.
22	(B) Outlays, \$324,500,000,000.
23	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
24	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
25	mitments, \$0.

```
(21) The corresponding levels of gross interest on
 1
 2
         the public debt are as follows:
 3
                  Fiscal year 1995: $311,800,000,000.
                  Fiscal year 1996: $331,100,000,000.
 4
                  Fiscal year 1997: $347,400,000,000.
 5
                  Fiscal year 1998: $364,600,000,000.
 6
                  Fiscal year 1999: $383,300,000,000.
 7
              (22) Allowances (920):
 8
                  Fiscal year 1995:
 9
                                         budget
                                                    authority,
                        (A)
                                New
10
                   − $11,258,000,000.
11
                        (B) Outlays, -$13,118,000,000.
12
                        (C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
13
                        (D) New primary loan guarantee com-
14
                  mitments, $0.
15
                  Fiscal year 1996:
16
17
                        (A)
                                New
                                         budget
                                                    authority,
                   − $8,575,000,000.
18
                        (B) Outlays, -$3,938,000,000.
19
                        (C) New direct loan obligations, $0.
20
                        (D) New primary loan guarantee com-
21
22
                  mitments, $0.
                  Fiscal year 1997:
23
                        (A)
                                         budget
                                                    authority,
24
                                New
                   -$9.288.000.000.
25
```

1	(B) Outlays, — \$6,492,000,000.
2	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
3	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
4	mitments, \$0.
5	Fiscal year 1998:
6	(A) New budget authority,
7	<i>— \$12,498,000,000.</i>
8	(B) Outlays, — \$11,982,000,000.
9	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
10	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
11	mitments, \$0.
12	Fiscal year 1999:
13	(A) New budget authority,
13 14	(A) New budget authority, - \$24,111,000,000.
14	- <i>\$24,111,000,000.</i>
14 15	- \$24,111,000,000. (B) Outlays, - \$15,589,000,000.
141516	- \$24,111,000,000. (B) Outlays, - \$15,589,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
14151617	- \$24,111,000,000. (B) Outlays, - \$15,589,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee com-
1415161718	- \$24,111,000,000. (B) Outlays, - \$15,589,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
14 15 16 17 18 19	- \$24,111,000,000. (B) Outlays, - \$15,589,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0. (23) Undistributed Offsetting Receipts (950):
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	- \$24,111,000,000. (B) Outlays, - \$15,589,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0. (23) Undistributed Offsetting Receipts (950): Fiscal year 1995:
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	- \$24,111,000,000. (B) Outlays, - \$15,589,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0. (23) Undistributed Offsetting Receipts (950): Fiscal year 1995: (A) New budget authority,

1	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
2	mitments, \$0.
3	Fiscal year 1996:
4	(A) New budget authority,
5	<i>— \$30,300,000,000.</i>
6	(B) Outlays, — \$30,300,000,000.
7	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
8	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
9	mitments, \$0.
10	Fiscal year 1997:
11	(A) New budget authority,
12	<i>— \$30,300,000,000.</i>
13	(B) Outlays, — \$30,300,000,000.
13 14	(B) Outlays, — \$30,300,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
	·
14	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
14 15	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee com-
141516	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
14151617	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0. Fiscal year 1998:
1415161718	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0. Fiscal year 1998: (A) New budget authority,
141516171819	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0. Fiscal year 1998: (A) New budget authority, - \$31,200,000,000.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0. Fiscal year 1998: (A) New budget authority, - \$31,200,000,000. (B) Outlays, - \$31,200,000,000.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0. Fiscal year 1998: (A) New budget authority, - \$31,200,000,000. (B) Outlays, - \$31,200,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	<i>— \$31,600,000,000.</i>
3	(B) Outlays, — \$31,600,000,000.
4	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
5	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
6	mitments, \$0.
7	(24) For purposes of section 710 of the Social Se-
8	curity Act, Undistributed Offsetting Receipts (950):
9	Fiscal year 1995:
10	(A) New budget authority,
11	<i>— \$33,500,000,000.</i>
12	(B) Outlays, — \$33,500,000,000.
13	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
14	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
15	mitments, \$0.
16	Fiscal year 1996:
17	(A) New budget authority,
18	<i>— \$27,100,000,000.</i>
19	(B) Outlays, — \$27,100,000,000.
20	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
20	
21	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
	(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
21	
21 22	mitments, \$0.

1	(B) Outlays, — \$27,600,000,000.
2	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
3	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
4	mitments, \$0.
5	Fiscal year 1998:
6	(A) New budget authority,
7	<i>— \$28,300,000,000.</i>
8	(B) Outlays, — \$28,300,000,000.
9	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
10	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
11	mitments, \$0.
12	Fiscal year 1999:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	<i>— \$28,500,000,000.</i>
15	(B) Outlays, — \$28,500,000,000.
16	(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
17	(D) New primary loan guarantee com-
18	mitments, \$0.
19	TITLE II—BUDGETARY
20	PROCEDURES
21	SEC. 21. SALE OF GOVERNMENT ASSETS.
22	(a) Sense of the Congress.—It is the sense of the
23	Congress that—
24	(1) from time to time the United States Govern-
25	ment should sell assets; and

1	(2) the amounts realized from such asset sales
2	will not recur on an annual basis and do not reduce
3	the demand for credit.
4	(b) FINDING.—The Congress finds that every budget
5	resolution since that for fiscal year 1988 has included lan-
6	guage prohibiting counting in the budget process the
7	amounts realized from asset sales (other than loan assets).
8	(c) Budgetary Treatment.—For purposes of points
9	of order under this concurrent resolution and the Congres-
10	sional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974, the
11	amounts realized from sales of assets (other than loan as-
12	sets) shall not be scored with respect to the level of budget
13	authority, outlays, or revenues.
14	(d) Definitions.—For purposes of this section—
15	(1) the term "sale of an asset" shall have the
16	same meaning as under section 250(c)(21) of the Bal-
17	anced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of
18	1985 (as amended by the Budget Enforcement Act of
19	1990); and
20	(2) the term shall not include asset sales man-
21	dated by law before September 18, 1987, and routine,
22	ongoing asset sales at levels consistent with agency
23	operations in fiscal year 1986.
24	(e) Sunset.—Subsections (a) through (d) of this sec-
25	tion shall expire September 30, 1998.

- 1 (f) Conforming Amendment.—Section 8 of House
- 2 Concurrent Resolution 64 (103d Congress), section 8 of
- 3 House Concurrent Resolution 287 (102d Congress), section
- 4 7 of House Concurrent Resolution 121 (102d Congress), sec-
- 5 tion 5 of House Concurrent Resolution 310 (101st Con-
- 6 gress), section 6 of House Concurrent Resolution 106 (101st
- 7 Congress), section 4 of House Concurrent Resolution 268
- 8 (100th Congress), and sections 7 and 8 of House Concurrent
- 9 Resolution 93 (100th Congress) are repealed.
- 10 SEC. 22. SOCIAL SECURITY FIRE WALL POINT OF ORDER IN
- 11 THE SENATE.
- 12 (a) FINDING.—The Senate finds that the concurrent
- 13 resolutions on the budget for fiscal years 1993 and 1994
- 14 have prohibited subsequent concurrent resolutions on the
- 15 budget from decreasing the balances of the social security
- 16 trust fund.
- 17 (b) Application of Section 301(i).—Notwithstand-
- 18 ing any other rule of the Senate, in the Senate, the point
- 19 of order established under section 301(i) of the Congres-
- 20 sional Budget Act of 1974 shall apply to any concurrent
- 21 resolution on the budget for any fiscal year (as reported
- 22 and as amended), amendments thereto, or any conference
- 23 report thereon.
- 24 (c) Conforming Amendment.—Section 10(b) of
- 25 House Concurrent Resolution 64 (103d Congress) and sec-

1	tion 12(b) of House Concurrent Resolution 287 (102d Con-
2	gress) are repealed.
3	SEC. 23. ENFORCING PAY-AS-YOU-GO.
4	(a) Purpose.—The Senate declares that it is essential
5	to—
6	(1) ensure continued compliance with the deficit
7	reduction embodied in the Omnibus Budget Reconcili-
8	ation Act of 1993; and
9	(2) continue the pay-as-you-go enforcement
10	system.
11	(b) Finding.—The Senate finds that section 12(c) of
12	the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1994
13	created a point of order prohibiting legislation that would
14	increase the deficit through fiscal year 2003.
15	(c) Enforcement.—
16	(1) In General.—It shall not be in order in the
17	Senate to consider any direct spending or receipts leg-
18	islation (including any such bill, joint resolution,
19	amendment, motion, or conference report) that
20	would—
21	(A) increase the deficit for the first fiscal
22	year covered by the most recently adopted con-
23	current resolution on the budget;

1	(B) increase the deficit for the period of the
2	5 fiscal years covered by the most recently adopt-
3	ed concurrent resolution on the budget; or
4	(C) increase the deficit for the period of the
5	5 fiscal years following the first 5 years covered
6	by the most recently adopted concurrent resolu-
7	tion on the budget;
8	when taken individually (as a bill, joint resolution,
9	amendment, motion, or conference report, as the case
10	may be), and when taken together with all direct
11	spending and receipts legislation enacted after the
12	date of enactment of the Omnibus Budget Reconcili-
13	ation Act of 1993.
14	(2) Direct spending and receipts legisla-
15	TION.—For purposes of this subsection, direct spend-
16	ing and receipts legislation shall—
17	(A) exclude full funding of, and continu-
18	ation of, the deposit insurance guarantee com-
19	mitment in effect on the date of enactment of the
20	Budget Enforcement Act of 1990;
21	(B) exclude emergency provisions so des-
22	ignated under section 252(e) of the Balanced
23	Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of
24	1985;

- (C) include the estimated amount of savings 1 in direct spending programs applicable to that 2 fiscal year resulting from the prior year's seques-3 4 tration under the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, if any (except 5 for any amounts sequestered as a result of a net 6 7 deficit increase in the fiscal year immediately preceding the prior fiscal year); and 8
- 9 (D) except as otherwise provided in this 10 subsection, include all direct spending legislation 11 as that term is defined in section 250(c)(8) of the 12 Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control 13 Act of 1985.
- 14 (d) Waiver.—This section may be waived or sus-15 pended in the Senate only by the affirmative vote of three-16 fifths of the Members, duly chosen and sworn.
- (e) APPEALS.—Appeals in the Senate from the decisions of the Chair relating to any provision of this section
 shall be limited to 1 hour, to be equally divided between,
 and controlled by, the appellant and the manager of the
 bill or joint resolution, as the case may be. An affirmative
 vote of three-fifths of the Members of the Senate, duly chosen
 and sworn, shall be required in the Senate to sustain an
 appeal of the ruling of the Chair on a point of order raised

under this section.

- 1 (f) Determination of Budget Levels.—For pur-
- 2 poses of this section, the levels of new budget authority, out-
- 3 lays, and receipts for a fiscal year shall be determined on
- 4 the basis of estimates made by the Committee on the Budget
- 5 of the Senate.
- 6 (g) Conforming Amendment.—Section 12(c) of
- 7 House Concurrent Resolution 64 (103d Congress) is
- 8 repealed.
- 9 (h) Technical Correction.—Notwithstanding sec-
- 10 tion 275(b) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit
- 11 Control Act of 1985 (as amended by sections 13112(b) and
- 12 13208(b)(3) of the Budget Enforcement Act of 1990), the
- 13 second sentence of section 904(c) of the Congressional Budg-
- 14 et Act of 1974 (except insofar as it relates to section 313
- 15 of that Act) and the final sentence of section 904(d) of that
- 16 Act (except insofar as it relates to section 313 of that Act)
- 17 shall continue to have effect as a rule of the Senate through
- 18 (but no later than) September 30, 1998.
- 19 (i) SUNSET.—Subsections (a) through (f) of this sec-
- 20 tion shall expire September 30, 1998.
- 21 SEC. 24. DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND IN THE SENATE.
- 22 (a) Initiatives To Improve the Well-Being of
- 23 Families Through Welfare or Other Reforms, To
- 24 Provide for Services To Support or Protect Chil-

Dren, or To Improve the Health, Nutrition, or Care OF CHILDREN.— 3 (1) In General.—Budget authority and outlays may be allocated to a committee or committees and the revenue aggregates may be reduced for legislation 5 to improve the well-being of families through welfare 6 7 or other reforms (including promoting self-sufficiency through improvements in job training or employment 8 9 programs), to provide for services to support or protect children (including assuring increased parental 10 support for children through improvements in the 11 child support enforcement program), or to improve 12 the health, nutrition, or care of children, within such 13 14 a committee's jurisdiction if such a committee or the committee of conference on such legislation reports 15 16 such legislation, if, to the extent that the costs of such 17 legislation are not included in this concurrent resolu-18 tion on the budget, the enactment of such legislation 19 will not increase (by virtue of either contemporaneous 20 or previously passed deficit reduction) the deficit in this resolution for— 21 22 (A) fiscal year 1995; or (B) the period of fiscal years 1995 through 23 1999. 24

1 (2) Revised allocations.—Upon the reporting 2 of legislation pursuant to paragraph (1), and again upon the submission of a conference report on such 3 legislation (if a conference report is submitted), the Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Sen-5 ate may file with the Senate appropriately revised al-6 locations under sections 302(a) and 602(a) of the 7 8 Congressional Budget Act of 1974 and revised func-9 tional levels and aggregates to carry out this sub-10 section. These revised allocations, functional levels. and aggregates shall be considered for the purposes of 11 the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 as allocations, 12 functional levels, and aggregates contained in this 13 14 concurrent resolution on the budget.

- (3) Reporting revised allocations.—The appropriate committee may report appropriately revised allocations pursuant to sections 302(b) and 602(b) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 to carry out this subsection.
- 20 (b) Initiatives To Provide Comprehensive Train-21 ing or Job Search Assistance or To Reform Unem-22 ployment Compensation.—
- 23 (1) IN GENERAL.—Budget authority and outlays 24 may be allocated to a committee or committees for 25 legislation that increases funding to provide com-

15

16

17

18

prehensive training or job search assistance (including reemployment or job training programs or dislocated worker programs), or to reform unemployment compensation, or to provide for other related programs, within such a committee's jurisdiction if such a committee or the committee of conference on such legislation reports such legislation, if, to the extent that the costs of such legislation are not included in this concurrent resolution on the budget, the enactment of such legislation will not increase (by virtue of either contemporaneous or previously passed deficit reduction) the deficit in this resolution for—

(A) fiscal year 1995; or

(B) the period of fiscal years 1995 through 1999.

(2) Revised allocations.—Upon the reporting of legislation pursuant to paragraph (1), and again upon the submission of a conference report on such legislation (if a conference report is submitted), the Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may file with the Senate appropriately revised allocations under sections 302(a) and 602(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 and revised functional levels and aggregates to carry out this subsection. These revised allocations, functional levels.

- and aggregates shall be considered for the purposes of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 as allocations, functional levels, and aggregates contained in this concurrent resolution on the budget.
- 5 (3) REPORTING REVISED ALLOCATIONS.—The
 6 appropriate committee may report appropriately re7 vised allocations pursuant to sections 302(b) and
 8 602(b) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 to
 9 carry out this subsection.
- 10 (c) Continuing Improvements in Ongoing Health 11 Care Programs or Comprehensive Health Care Re-12 form.—

(1) In General.—Budget authority and outlays may be allocated to a committee or committees for legislation that increases funding to make continuing improvements in ongoing health care programs, to provide for comprehensive health care reform, to control health care costs, or to accomplish other health care reforms within such a committee's jurisdiction if such a committee or the committee of conference on such legislation reports such legislation, if, to the extent that the costs of such legislation are not included in this concurrent resolution on the budget, the enactment of such legislation will not increase (by virtue)

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 of either contemporaneous or previously passed deficit 2 reduction) the deficit in this resolution for— (A) fiscal year 1995; or 3 4 (B) the period of fiscal years 1995 through 5 1999 (2) REVISED ALLOCATIONS.—Upon the reporting 6 7 of legislation pursuant to paragraph (1), and again upon the submission of a conference report on such 8 legislation (if a conference report is submitted), the 9 10 Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Sen-11 ate may file with the Senate appropriately revised allocations under sections 302(a) and 602(a) of the 12 13 Congressional Budget Act of 1974 and revised func-14 tional levels and aggregates to carry out this subsection. These revised allocations, functional levels, 15 and aggregates shall be considered for the purposes of 16 17 the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 as allocations, 18 functional levels, and aggregates contained in this 19 concurrent resolution on the budget. 20 REPORTING REVISED ALLOCATIONS.—The appropriate committee may report appropriately re-21 22 vised allocations pursuant to sections 302(b) and 602(b) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 to 23 carry out this subsection.

1 (4) Adjustments for amendments.—(A) If 2 the Chairman of the Committee on the Budget makes 3 an adjustment for legislation pursuant to this subsection, upon the offering of an amendment to such legislation, the Chairman shall file with the Senate 5 appropriately revised allocations under sections 6 7 302(a) and 602(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 8 1974 and revised functional levels and aggregates if the enactment of such legislation (as proposed to be 9 10 amended) will not increase (by virtue of either contemporaneous or previously passed deficit reduction) 11 the deficit in this resolution for— 12 13 (i) fiscal year 1995; or 14 (ii) the period of fiscal years 1995 through 1999. 15 (B) These revised allocations, functional levels. 16 17 and aggregates shall be considered for the purposes of 18 the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 as allocations, 19 functional levels, and aggregates contained in this res-20 olution on the budget. 21 (C) The appropriate committee may report ap-22 propriately revised allocations pursuant to sections 302(b) and 602(b) of the Congressional Budget Act of 23 1974 to carry out this subsection. 24

(d) Initiatives To Preserve and Rebuild the 1 United States Maritime Industry.— 3 (1) In General.—Budget authority and outlays may be allocated to a committee or committees for direct spending legislation that increases funding to 5 preserve and rebuild the United States maritime 6 7 industry within such a committee's jurisdiction if 8 such a committee or the committee of conference on 9 such legislation reports such legislation, if, to the extent that the costs of such legislation are not included 10 11 in this concurrent resolution on the budget, the enactment of such legislation will not increase (by virtue 12 of either contemporaneous or previously passed deficit 13 14 reduction) the deficit in this resolution for— 15 (A) fiscal year 1995; and (B) the period of fiscal years 1995 through 16 17 1999 18 (2) Revised allocations.—Upon the reporting 19 of legislation pursuant to paragraph (1), and again 20 upon the submission of a conference report on such legislation (if a conference report is submitted), the 21 22 Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may file with the Senate appropriately revised al-23 locations under sections 302(a) and 602(a) of the 24 25 Congressional Budget Act of 1974 and revised func-

- tional levels and aggregates to carry out this subsection. Such revised allocations, functional levels, and aggregates shall be considered for the purposes of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 as allocations, functional levels, and aggregates contained in this concurrent resolution on the budget.
- 7 (3) Reporting revised allocations.—The 8 appropriate committee may report appropriately 9 revised allocations pursuant to sections 302(b) and 10 602(b) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 to 11 carry out this subsection.
- 12 (e) Initiatives To Reform the Financing of Fed-13 eral Elections.—

(1) In General.—Budget authority and outlays may be allocated to a committee or committees for direct spending legislation that increases funding to reform the financing of Federal elections within such a committee's jurisdiction if such a committee or the committee of conference on such legislation reports such legislation, if, to the extent that the costs of such legislation are not included in this concurrent resolution on the budget, the enactment of such legislation will not increase (by virtue of either contemporaneous or previously passed deficit reduction) the deficit in this resolution for—

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	(A) fiscal year 1995; or
2	(B) the period of fiscal years 1995 through
3	1999.
4	(2) Revised allocations.—Upon the reporting
5	of legislation pursuant to paragraph (1), and again
6	upon the submission of a conference report on such
7	legislation (if a conference report is submitted), the
8	Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Sen-
9	ate may file with the Senate appropriately revised al-
10	locations under sections 302(a) and 602(a) of the
11	Congressional Budget Act of 1974 and revised func-
12	tional levels and aggregates to carry out this sub-
13	section. These revised allocations, functional levels,
14	and aggregates shall be considered for the purposes of
15	the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 as allocations,
16	functional levels, and aggregates contained in this
17	concurrent resolution on the budget.
18	(3) Reporting revised allocations.—The
19	appropriate committee may report appropriately re-
20	vised allocations pursuant to sections 302(b) and
21	602(b) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 to
22	carry out this subsection.
23	(f) Trade-Related Legislation.—
24	(1) In general.—Budget authority and outlays
25	may be allocated to a committee or committees and

the revenue aggregates may be reduced for trade-related legislation (including legislation to implement the Uruguay Round of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade or to extend the Generalized System of Preferences) within such a committee's jurisdiction if such a committee or the committee of conference on such legislation reports such legislation, if, to the extent that the costs of such legislation are not included in this concurrent resolution on the budget, the enactment of such legislation will not increase (by virtue of either contemporaneous or previously passed deficit reduction) the deficit in this resolution for—

(A) fiscal year 1995; or

(B) the period of fiscal years 1995 through 1999.

(2) Revised allocations.—Upon the reporting of legislation pursuant to paragraph (1), and again upon the submission of a conference report on such legislation (if a conference report is submitted), the Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may file with the Senate appropriately revised allocations under sections 302(a) and 602(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 and revised functional levels and aggregates to carry out this subsection. These revised allocations, functional levels,

- and aggregates shall be considered for the purposes of
 the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 as allocations,
 functional levels, and aggregates contained in this
 concurrent resolution on the budget.
- 5 (3) Reporting revised allocations.—The
 6 appropriate committee may report appropriately re7 vised allocations pursuant to sections 302(b) and
 8 602(b) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 to
 9 carry out this subsection.
- 10 (g) Reforms Relating to the Pension Benefit 11 Guaranty Corporation.—

(1) In General.—Budget authority and outlays may be allocated to a committee or committees and the revenue aggregates may be reduced for reforms relating to the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation (including legislation to improve the funding of government-insured pension plans, to protect plan participants, or to limit growth in exposure of the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation) or other employee benefit-related legislation within such a committee's jurisdiction if such a committee or the committee of conference on such legislation reports such legislation, if, to the extent that the costs of such legislation are not included in this concurrent resolution on the budget, the enactment of such legislation will

not increase (by virtue of either contemporaneous or previously passed deficit reduction) the deficit in this resolution for—

- (A) fiscal year 1995; or
- 5 (B) the period of fiscal years 1995 through 6 1999.
 - of legislation pursuant to paragraph (1), and again upon the submission of a conference report on such legislation (if a conference report is submitted), the Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may file with the Senate appropriately revised allocations under sections 302(a) and 602(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 and revised functional levels and aggregates to carry out this subsection. These revised allocations, functional levels, and aggregates shall be considered for the purposes of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 as allocations, functional levels, and aggregates contained in this concurrent resolution on the budget.
 - (3) Reporting revised allocations.—The appropriate committee may report appropriately revised allocations pursuant to sections 302(b) and 602(b) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 to carry out this subsection.

(h) Reforms Relating to Employment Taxes on 1 Domestic Services.— 3 (1) In General.—Budget authority and outlays may be allocated to a committee or committees and the revenue aggregates may be reduced for reforms re-5 lating to providing for simplified collection of em-6 7 ployment taxes on domestic services within such a committee's jurisdiction if such a committee or the 8 committee of conference on such legislation reports 9 such legislation, if, to the extent that the costs of such 10 legislation are not included in this concurrent resolu-11 tion on the budget, the enactment of such legislation 12 will not increase (by virtue of either contemporaneous 13 14 or previously passed deficit reduction) the deficit in this resolution for— 15 16 (A) fiscal year 1995; or 17 (B) the period of fiscal years 1995 through 18 1999. 19 (2) Revised allocations.—Upon the reporting 20 of legislation pursuant to paragraph (1), and again upon the submission of a conference report on such 21 22 legislation (if a conference report is submitted), the Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Sen-23 ate may file with the Senate appropriately revised al-24 25 locations under sections 302(a) and 602(a) of the

- Congressional Budget Act of 1974 and revised functional levels and aggregates to carry out this subsection. These revised allocations, functional levels, and aggregates shall be considered for the purposes of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 as allocations, functional levels, and aggregates contained in this concurrent resolution on the budget.
- 8 (3) Reporting revised allocations.—The
 9 appropriate committee may report appropriately re10 vised allocations pursuant to sections 302(b) and
 11 602(b) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 to
 12 carry out this subsection.
- 13 (i) Initiatives To Reform the Comprehensive 14 Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liabil-15 Ity Act of 1980.—
- 16 (1) In general.—Budget authority and outlays 17 may be allocated to a committee or committees for di-18 rect spending legislation that increases funding to re-19 form the Comprehensive Environmental Response, 20 Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 within such 21 a committee's jurisdiction if such a committee or the 22 committee of conference on such legislation reports such legislation, if, to the extent that the costs of such 23 legislation are not included in this concurrent resolu-24 25 tion on the budget, the enactment of such legislation

will not increase (by virtue of either contemporaneous
 or previously passed deficit reduction) the deficit in
 this resolution for—

- (A) fiscal year 1995; or
- 5 (B) the period of fiscal years 1995 through 6 1999.
 - of legislation pursuant to paragraph (1), and again upon the submission of a conference report on such legislation (if a conference report is submitted), the Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may file with the Senate appropriately revised allocations under sections 302(a) and 602(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 and revised functional levels and aggregates to carry out this subsection. These revised allocations, functional levels, and aggregates shall be considered for the purposes of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 as allocations, functional levels, and aggregates contained in this concurrent resolution on the budget.
 - (3) Reporting revised allocations.—The appropriate committee may report appropriately revised allocations pursuant to sections 302(b) and 602(b) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 to carry out this subsection.

1	(j) Reforms To Consolidate the Supervision of
2	DEPOSITORY INSTITUTIONS INSURED UNDER THE FED-
3	eral Deposit Insurance Act.—
4	(1) In GENERAL.—Budget authority and outlays
5	may be allocated to a committee or committees and
6	the revenue aggregates may be reduced for reforms to
7	consolidate the supervision of depository institutions
8	insured under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act
9	within such a committee's jurisdiction if such a com-
10	mittee or the committee of conference on such legisla-
11	tion reports such legislation, if, to the extent that the
12	costs of such legislation are not included in this con-
13	current resolution on the budget, the enactment of
14	such legislation will not increase (by virtue of either
15	contemporaneous or previously passed deficit reduc-
16	tion) the deficit in this resolution for—
17	(A) fiscal year 1995; or
18	(B) the period of fiscal years 1995 through
19	1999.
20	(2) Revised allocations.—Upon the reporting
21	of legislation pursuant to paragraph (1), and again
22	upon the submission of a conference report on such
23	legislation (if a conference report is submitted), the
24	chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Sen-
25	ate may file with the Senate appropriately revised al-

- locations under sections 302(a) and 602(a) of the
 Congressional Budget Act of 1974 and revised functional levels and aggregates to carry out this subsection. These revised allocations, functional levels,
 and aggregates shall be considered for the purposes of
 the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 as allocations,
 functional levels, and aggregates contained in this
 concurrent resolution on the budget.
 - (3) Reporting revised allocations.—The appropriate committee may report appropriately revised allocations pursuant to sections 302(b) and 602(b) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 to carry out this subsection.
 - (k) Initiatives To Preserve Energy Security.—
 - (1) In General.—Budget authority and outlays may be allocated to a committee or committees and the revenue aggregates may be reduced for initiatives to preserve United States energy security within such a committee's jurisdiction if such a committee or the committee of conference on such legislation reports such legislation, if, to the extent that the costs of such legislation are not included in this concurrent resolution on the budget, the enactment of such legislation will not increase (by virtue of either contemporaneous

or previously passed deficit reduction) the deficit in 1 2 this resolution for— (A) fiscal year 1995; or 3 4 (B) the period of fiscal years 1995 through 5 1999 6 (2) Revised allocations.—Upon the reporting 7 of legislation pursuant to paragraph (1), and again upon the submission of a conference report on such 8 legislation (if a conference report is submitted), the 9 10 Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Sen-11 ate may file with the Senate appropriately revised allocations under sections 302(a) and 602(a) of the 12 13 Congressional Budget Act of 1974 and revised func-14 tional levels and aggregates to carry out this subsection. These revised allocations, functional levels, 15 and aggregates shall be considered for the purposes of 16 17 the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 as allocations, 18 functional levels, and aggregates contained in this 19 concurrent resolution on the budget. 20 REPORTING REVISED ALLOCATIONS.—The appropriate committee may report appropriately re-21 22 vised allocations pursuant to sections 302(b) and 602(b) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 to 23

24

carry out this subsection.

1 SEC. 25. ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES.

2	(a) Discretionary Spending Limits.—
3	(1) Definition.—As used in this section, for the
4	discretionary category, for the purposes of congres-
5	sional enforcement of this resolution, reduce the dis-
6	cretionary spending limit in section 601 of the Con-
7	gressional Budget Act of 1974 by the following
8	amounts—
9	(A) with respect to fiscal year 1996,
10	\$4,200,000,000 in budget authority and
11	\$5,400,000,000 in outlays;
12	(B) with respect to fiscal year 1997,
13	\$4,800,000,000 in budget authority and
14	\$5,600,000,000 in outlays; and
15	(C) with respect to fiscal year 1998,
16	\$8,700,000,000 in budget authority and
17	\$5,300,000,000 in outlays.
18	(2) Point of order in the senate.—(A) Ex-
19	cept as provided in subparagraph (B), it shall not be
20	in order in the Senate to consider any concurrent res-
21	olution on the budget for fiscal years 1996, 1997, or
22	1998 (or amendment, motion, or conference report on
23	such a resolution) that would exceed any of the discre-
24	tionary spending limits in this section.
25	(B) This subsection shall not apply if a declara-
26	tion of war by the Congress is in effect or if a joint

- 1 resolution pursuant to section 258 of the Balanced
- 2 Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985
- 3 has been enacted.
- 4 (b) Waiver.—This section may be waived or sus-
- 5 pended in the Senate only by the affirmative vote of three-
- 6 fifths of the Members, duly chosen and sworn.
- 7 (c) Appeals.—Appeals in the Senate from the deci-
- 8 sions of the Chair relating to any provision of this section
- 9 shall be limited to 1 hour, to be equally divided between,
- 10 and controlled by, the appellant and the manager of the
- 11 concurrent resolution, bill, or joint resolution, as the case
- 12 may be. An affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members
- 13 of the Senate, duly chosen and sworn, shall be required in
- 14 the Senate to sustain an appeal of the ruling of the Chair
- 15 on a point of order raised under this section.
- 16 (d) Determination of Budget Levels.—For pur-
- 17 poses of this section, the levels of new budget authority, out-
- 18 lays, new entitlement authority, and revenues for a fiscal
- 19 year shall be determined on the basis of estimates made by
- 20 the Committee on the Budget of the Senate or the Committee
- 21 on the Budget of the House of Representatives, as the case
- 22 may be.
- 23 SEC. 26. EXERCISE OF RULE-MAKING POWERS.
- 24 The Congress adopts the provisions of this title—

1	(1) as an exercise of the rule-making power of
2	the Senate and the House of Representatives, respec-
3	tively, and as such they shall be considered as part
4	of the rules of each House, or of that House to which
5	they specifically apply, and such rules shall supersede
6	other rules only to the extent that they are inconsist-
7	ent therewith; and
8	(2) with full recognition of the constitutional
9	right of either House to change those rules (so far as
10	they relate to that House) at any time, in the same
11	manner, and to the same extent as in the case of any
12	other rule of that House.
13	TITLE III—SENSE OF CONGRESS
14	PROVISIONS
15	SEC. 31. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS REGARDING THE BUD-
16	GETARY ACCOUNTING OF HEALTH CARE
17	REFORM.
18	It is the sense of the Congress that—
19	(1) the Congress should measure the costs and
20	benefits of all health care reform legislation against a
21	uniform set of economic and technical assumptions;
22	(2) before enacting major changes in the health
23	care system, the Congress should have available to it
24	reliable estimates of the costs of competing plans pre-
25	pared in a comparable manner;

1	(3) Congress should use Congressional Budget
2	Office estimates in accounting for the costs and bene-
3	fits of health care reform legislation; and
4	(4) all financial transactions associated with
5	Federal health care reform legislation mandating em-
6	ployer payments for health care coverage should be
7	treated as part of the Federal budget, including em-
8	ployer mandated payments to entities (which should
9	be treated as Government receipts) and payments
10	made by the entities pursuant to Federal law (which
11	should be treated as outlays), for all purposes under
12	the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 and the Bal-
13	anced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of
1314	anced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985.
14	1985.
14 15	1985. SEC. 32. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS ON THE COSTS OF ILLE-
141516	1985. SEC. 32. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS ON THE COSTS OF ILLE-GAL IMMIGRATION.
14151617	1985. SEC. 32. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS ON THE COSTS OF ILLE- GAL IMMIGRATION. (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—
1415161718	1985. SEC. 32. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS ON THE COSTS OF ILLE- GAL IMMIGRATION. (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that— (1) the Federal Government is solely responsible
141516171819	1985. SEC. 32. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS ON THE COSTS OF ILLE- GAL IMMIGRATION. (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that— (1) the Federal Government is solely responsible for setting and enforcing national immigration pol-
14151617181920	1985. SEC. 32. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS ON THE COSTS OF ILLE- GAL IMMIGRATION. (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that— (1) the Federal Government is solely responsible for setting and enforcing national immigration policy;
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1985. SEC. 32. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS ON THE COSTS OF ILLE- GAL IMMIGRATION. (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that— (1) the Federal Government is solely responsible for setting and enforcing national immigration policy; (2) the Federal Government has not adequately

1	(4) States must incur costs for incarcerating un-
2	documented persons convicted of State and local
3	crimes, educating undocumented children, providing
4	emergency medical services to undocumented persons,
5	and providing services incidental to admission of ref-
6	ugees under the Refugee Admissions and Resettlement
7	Program; and
8	(5) the Federal Government has an obligation to
9	reimburse State and local governments for costs re-
10	sulting from the costs described in paragraph (4).
11	(b) Sense of Congress.—It is the sense of Congress
12	that, in setting forth the budget authority and outlay
13	amounts in this resolution, funding should be provided to
14	reimburse State and local governments for the costs associ-
15	ated with—
16	(1) elementary and secondary education for un-
17	documented children;
18	(2) emergency medical assistance to undocu-
19	mented persons;
20	(3) incarceration and parole of criminal aliens,
21	and
22	(4) services incidental to admission of refugees
23	under the Refugee Admissions and Resettlement Pro-
24	gram.

1	SEC. 33. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS REGARDING BASELINES.
2	(a) Findings.—The Congress finds that—
3	(1) the baseline budget shows the likely course of
4	Federal revenues and spending if policies remain un-
5	changed;
6	(2) baseline budgeting has given rise to the prac-
7	tice of calculating policy changes from an inflated
8	spending level; and
9	(3) the baseline concept has been misused to por-
10	tray policies that would simply slow down the in-
11	crease in spending as spending reductions.
12	(b) Sense of Congress.—It is the sense of the Con-
13	gress that—
14	(1) the President should submit a budget that
15	compares proposed spending levels for the budget year
16	with the current year; and
17	(2) the starting point for deliberations on a
18	budget resolution should be the current year.
19	SEC. 34. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS ON ECONOMIC ASSUMP
20	TIONS.
21	It is the sense of Congress that—
22	(1) economic assumptions play a significant role
23	in projecting Federal budget expenditures and reve-
24	nues;
25	(2) over the past decade and one-half, the eco-
26	nomic assumptions used by both the Office of Manage-

1	ment and Budget and by the Congressional Budget
2	Office have been less accurate than the Blue Chip pro-
3	jections;
4	(3) future economic assumptions utilized for
5	budget projection purposes should use the latest Blue
6	Chip projections for economic assumptions and
7	quoted public market rates when relevant for project-
8	ing interest rates; and
9	(4) in the event the Office of Management and
10	Budget or the Congressional Budget Office concludes
11	that using the Blue Chip indicators or market rates
12	are inaccurate, they should present their budget pro-
13	jections using both their own and Blue Chip and
14	market assumptions, along with an explanation of
15	why they find the latter to be unacceptable.
16	SEC. 35. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS REGARDING UNFUNDED
17	FEDERAL MANDATES.
18	It is the sense of the Congress that—
19	(1) the Federal Government should not shift the
20	costs of administering Federal programs to State and
21	local governments;
22	(2) the Federal Government's share of entitle-
23	ment programs should not be capped or otherwise de-
24	creased without providing States authority to amend

1	their financial or programmatic responsibilities to
2	continue meeting the mandated service;
3	(3) the Federal Government should not impose
4	excessive mandates and regulations that increase costs
5	for the private sector, hindering economic growth and
6	employment opportunities; and
7	(4) Congress should develop a mechanism to en-
8	sure that costs of mandates are considered during
9	agencies' development of regulations and congres-
10	sional deliberations on legislation.
11	SEC. 36. CLOSING OF LOOPHOLES IN FOREIGN TAX PROVI-
12	SIONS.
13	(a) Findings.—The Senate finds that—
14	(1) foreign-controlled corporations doing business
15	in the United States do not pay their fair share of
16	taxes;
17	(2) up to 72 percent of foreign-controlled cor-
18	porations doing business in the United States pay no
19	Federal income tax;
20	(3) the Internal Revenue Service has limited its
21	own ability to enforce Federal tax laws against for-
21	own ability to thibite reactal tax laws against for-
21	eign-controlled corporations, to the detriment of do-

(4) the Internal Revenue Service has been using 1 2 antiquated accounting concepts to deal with sophisticated multinational corporations; 3 (5) billions of dollars of Federal revenues are lost annually due to the inability of the Internal Revenue 5 Service to enforce the "arm's length" transaction 6 7 rule—not even counting the costs of bureaucracy and litigation; and 8 (6) the Federal income tax laws encourage do-9 mestic taxpayers to relocate abroad by granting them 10 deferral of United States taxes on income earned 11 abroad. 12 13 (b) Sense of the Senate.—It is the sense of the Senate that deficit reduction should be achieved, in part, by 14 ending loopholes and enforcement breakdowns that now enable foreign-controlled corporations operating in the United States to pay no taxes and that subsidize the flight of domestic businesses and jobs out of the United States, includ-19 ing— 20 (1) a more streamlined and efficient method of enforcing Federal tax laws involving multinational 21 22 corporations, especially those based abroad, in particular, the use of a formula approach by the Treas-23 ury Department where the "arm's length" transaction 24

rule does not work; and

1	(2) a repeal of tax subsidies for domestic busi-
2	nesses that move jobs to tax havens abroad and then
3	ship their products back into the United States.
4	SEC. 37. SENSE OF THE SENATE REGARDING TAX EXPENDI-
5	TURES.
6	(a) Findings.—The Senate finds that—
7	(1) continuing budget deficits and the accumula-
8	tion of Federal debt have a detrimental impact on the
9	Nation's long-term economic growth prospects;
10	(2) in the absence of further fiscal restraint, the
11	Congressional Budget Office estimates that the Fed-
12	eral deficit will increase to \$365,000,000,000 by 2004
13	and the national debt held by the public will grow to
14	approximately \$6,000,000,000,000;
15	(3) tax expenditures are growing significantly;
16	and
17	(4) in some instances, tax expenditures may
18	have the same effect as direct Federal spending and
19	should be subject to the same level of budgetary re-
20	view.
21	(b) Sense of the Senate.—It is the sense of the Sen-
22	ate that—
23	(1) the Congress should consider targets for the
24	growth in tax expenditures similar to the targets for
25	the growth of mandatory spending;

1	(2) such targets should be specified in any rec-
2	onciliation instructions included in a budget resolu-
3	tion; and
4	(3) such targets should be enforceable separately
5	from any revenue targets included in the reconcili-
6	ation instructions.
7	SEC. 38. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS REGARDING HEALTH
8	SERVICE DELIVERY AND WATER INFRASTRUC-
9	TURE IN THE INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE.
10	It is the sense of the Congress that—
11	(1) sufficient funding should be provided to the
12	Indian Health Service to ensure that Indian Health
13	Service hospitals and outpatient facilities in existence
14	on the date of enactment of this resolution, and In-
15	dian Health Service hospitals and outpatient facili-
16	ties scheduled to open during fiscal years 1994, 1995,
17	and 1996, are fully staffed with the appropriate num-
18	ber of health care professionals needed to meet the
19	health and medical needs of the American Indians
20	and Alaska Natives who depend on the Indian Health
21	Service for health care; and
22	(2) sufficient funding should be provided to the
23	Indian Health Service to ensure that the Indian
24	Health Service is capable of meeting basic public
25	health and safety and sanitation requirements on In-

1	dian lands through timely and proper water infra-
2	structure construction and upgrades.
3	SEC. 39. SENSE OF THE SENATE REGARDING THE NA
4	TIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINIS
5	TRATION.
6	It is the sense of the Senate that the budget authority
7	and outlay figures for function 250 in this resolution do
8	not assume any amounts for the National Aeronautics and
9	Space Administration for any fiscal year from 1995
10	through 1999 in excess of the amounts proposed by the
11	President for such fiscal year.
12	SEC. 40. SENSE OF THE SENATE REGARDING A BALANCED
13	BUDGET AND THE SPENDING REDUCTION
14	COMMISSION.
15	(a) Findings.—The Congress finds that—
16	(1) The Congressional Budget Office has affirmed
17	that reductions in outlays of \$34,000,000,000 per
18	year below their current baseline will result in a bal-
19	anced budget by the year 2000.
	\mathcal{O}
20	(2) The Spending Reduction Commission de-
2021	
	(2) The Spending Reduction Commission de-
21	(2) The Spending Reduction Commission described in S. 1191 is a proven mechanism which will
21 22	(2) The Spending Reduction Commission described in S. 1191 is a proven mechanism which will provide the necessary reductions in Federal spending

- aforementioned reductions from the Congressional Budget
 Office Baseline and that a Spending Reduction Commission
- 3 should be created to propose annual spending cuts sufficient
- 4 to reach the yearly spending reduction targets.

5 SEC. 41. MINIMUM ALLOCATION PROGRAM.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 6 (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—
- 7 (1) the minimum allocation program was estab-8 lished in 1982 to address inequities in the funding 9 formula for Federal-aid highways;
 - (2) the minimum allocation program was designed to provide the greatest degree of flexibility practicable to States that receive funding under the formula referred to in paragraph (1) and includes an exemption of the apportionments from the obligation ceiling;
 - (3) the minimum allocation program provides additional flexibility by allowing a State a 4-year period during which amounts apportioned to the State may be obligated;
 - (4) the budget of the United States Government for fiscal year 1995 submitted by the President to Congress proposes to include minimum allocation apportionments under the obligation ceiling and also proposes to limit the authority of States to obligate apportionments under the minimum allocation pro-

1	gram to 67 percent of the amount of the apportion-
2	ments; and
3	(5) States have planned transportation programs
4	on the basis of the provisions of the Intermodal Sur-
5	face Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991, and the
6	amendments made by the Act, relating to minimum
7	allocation that confirmed core commitments to exemp-
8	tion and flexibility.
9	(b) Sense of the Congress.—It is the sense of the
10	Congress that—
11	(1) the minimum allocation program should re-
12	main exempt from the obligation ceiling; and
13	(2) the flexibility of the minimum allocation pro-
14	gram should be an enduring and critical component
15	of the provision of Federal assistance to States for
16	Federal-aid highways.
17	(c) Definitions.—As used in this section:
18	(1) Federal-aid highways.—The term "Fed-
19	eral-aid highways'' has the meaning provided the
20	term in section 101 of title 23, United States Code.
21	(2) Minimum allocation program.—The term
22	"minimum allocation program" means the program
23	of allocation of funding to States under section 157
24	of title 23, United States Code.

1	(3) Obligation ceiling.—The term "obligation
2	ceiling" means the obligation ceiling under section
3	1002 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Effi-
4	ciency Act of 1991.
5	SEC. 42. SENSE OF SENATE ON PAYMENT TO UNITED NA-
6	TIONS OF UNITED STATES ARREARAGES IN
7	CONTRIBUTIONS FOR PEACEKEEPING ACTIVI-
8	TIES.
9	(a) Sense of Senate on Authority and Out-
10	LAYS.—It is the sense of the Senate that budget authority
11	of \$250,000,000 in fiscal year 1995 and outlays of
12	\$170,000,000 in that fiscal year based upon funds accruing
13	under subsection (b) should be allocated to the committee
14	or committees of the Senate having jurisdiction over con-
15	tributions to the United Nations for peacekeeping activities
16	for the purposes of permitting the payment of arrearages
17	of the United States in commitments in fiscal year 1994
18	for such contributions.
19	(b) Sense of Senate on Funds.—It is the sense of
20	the Senate that funds should be available for the budget au-
21	thority of \$250,000,000 and outlays of \$170,000,000 re-
22	ferred to in subsection (a) as the result of—
23	(1) the reimposition by the United States of
24	charges on foreign governments (other than Israel and
25	Egypt) for the non-recurring costs of research, devel-

1	opment, and production of major defense equipment
2	licensed for commercial export to such governments;
3	and
4	(2) the recoupment by the United States from
5	such governments of administrative costs relating to
6	foreign military sales; and
7	(3) the elimination of all financing assistance for
8	such sales (other than sales to Israel and Egypt) by
9	the United States.
10	SEC. 43. POLICY IN EASTERN AND CENTRAL EUROPE.
11	It is the sense of the Senate that the assumptions un-
12	derlying the levels of spending set forth in this resolution
13	regarding the national defense (050) and international af-
14	fairs (150) budget categories include an assumption that
15	the United States will oppose through appropriate means
16	attempts by the Russian Federation to intimidate, use mili-
17	tary force or engage in economic coercion to establish a
18	sphere of influence over the former republics of the Soviet
19	Union, the Baltics, or Central and Eastern European na-
20	tions, consistent with provisions contained in the Freedom
21	Support Act and the Foreign Assistance Appropriations Act
22	of 1994.
23	SEC. 44. SENSE OF THE SENATE REGARDING FEDERAL
24	COURTHOUSE CONSTRUCTION.
25	It is the sense of the Senate that—

1	(1) the President's fiscal year 1995 budget in-
2	cludes a request for 11 courthouses with a total esti-
3	mated cost of over \$1,000,000,000;
4	(2) while there may be significant need for new
5	Federal courthouses, the need for programs that pre-
6	vent youth violence before children get to courthouses
7	is greater;
8	(3) there should be a moratorium for fiscal year
9	1995 on the construction of any new Federal court-
10	houses which have not already been specifically ap-
11	proved by Congress; and
12	(4) priority should be given to programs for chil-
13	dren and families like Head Start and grants for ma-
14	ternal and infant health care.
15	SEC. 45. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS REGARDING FEDERAL
16	LAW ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL.
17	(a) Findings.—The Congress finds that—
18	(1) violent crimes reported to law enforcement
19	continue to increase with over 1,900,000 offenses
20	being reported to law enforcement each year;
21	(2) drug dealing and the violent crime that ac-
22	companies it are at the heart of the Nation's current
23	crime crisis:

- (3) the problem of international drug trafficking
 is increasing and foreign narcotics syndicates continue to make the United States their primary target;
 - (4) drug abuse among our Nation's young people, after years of decline, has recently increased;
 - (5) interstate criminal street gangs, which deal in illicit narcotics and which are responsible for so much violent crime, are spreading into cities throughout the Nation:
 - (6) the Senate has passed a comprehensive anticrime bill which increases authorizations for Federal and State law enforcement, increases penalties for violent crime, and enhances Federal law enforcement's role in combating violent street crime;
 - (7) the President's proposed budget for fiscal year 1995 cuts the number of Drug Enforcement Administration, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Organized Crime Drug Enforcement Task Force, and United States Attorney personnel;
 - (8) absent the President's proposed budget cuts to Federal law enforcement for fiscal year 1995, there are still 431 fewer FBI agents and 301 fewer DEA agents today than there were in 1992 and, according to the President's budget, there will not be a new FBI or DEA class until fiscal year 1996;

1	(9) an adequate Federal law enforcement and
2	Federal prosecutor presence is critical to our Nation's
3	effort to respond to the crime and drug problem; and
4	(10) President Clinton and Attorney General
5	Reno have publicly stated their support for enhanced
6	efforts to fight violent crime and drug trafficking.
7	(b) Sense of the Congress.—It is the sense of Con-
8	gress that—
9	(1) current levels of agent strength within the
10	DEA and FBI and the current number of assistant
11	United States Attorneys are inadequate to meet the
12	Federal Government's obligations to our Nation's law
13	abiding citizens; and
14	(2) at a minimum, the agent strength for the
15	FBI and DEA should be restored to end-of-fiscal year
16	1992 levels, and the number of Assistant United
17	States Attorneys should not be reduced.
18	SEC. 46. SENSE OF SENATE THAT TAXES NOT BE IN-
19	CREASED BECAUSE TAXPAYERS ARE MAR-
20	RIED.
21	(a) Findings.—The Senate finds that—
22	(1) successful stable marriages are an essential
23	part of a successful stable society.

(2) the breakdown of marriages has been one of 1 2 the causes of our unacceptable crime, illiteracy, school dropout, drug abuse, and illegitimacy rates; 3 (3) the Federal Government has a moral and 5 ethical obligation to help promote stable marriages or at least to not undermine them financially; 6 (4) the Internal Revenue Code currently contains 7 a number of provisions that financially penalize cou-8 ples for becoming or remaining married (so called 9 "marriage penalties"); 10 (5) marriage penalties are in effect an annual 11 Federal tax on marriage licenses; 12 (6) the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 13 14 1993 added new marriage penalties to the Internal 15 Revenue Code and expanded some existing marriage penalties; 16 17 (7) marriage penalties financially discriminate 18 against the most fundamental and important unit in 19 our society—the family—and are especially harmful 20 to our Nation's children: and (8) there is no policy justification for the Federal 21 Government to financially penalize couples simply be-22 cause they choose to become or remain legally mar-23

ried.

1	(b) Sense of the Senate.—It is the sense of the Sen-
2	ate that no taxpayer, regardless of age, sex, income, or num-
3	ber of dependents, should be required to pay more in Fed-
4	eral taxes under any provision of the Internal Revenue Code
5	because that taxpayer is legally married.
6	SEC. 47. SENSE OF THE SENATE REGARDING CERTAIN DE-
7	PARTMENT OF ENERGY REDUCTIONS-IN-
8	FORCE.
9	It is the sense of the Senate that—
10	(1) a reduction-in-force at the Department of
11	Energy's Kansas City Plant should not be carried out
12	until—
13	(A) the National Defense Authorization Act
14	for fiscal year 1995 and the Energy and Water
15	Development Appropriations Act for fiscal year
16	1995 become law; or
17	(B) Congress has otherwise approved such
18	an action.
19	SEC. 48. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS REGARDING MINERALS
20	MANAGEMENT.
21	It is the sense of the Congress that the budget authority
22	and outlay totals set forth in this resolution assume suffi-
23	cient funding under budget function 300 (Natural Re-
24	sources and Environment) to ensure—

1	(1) the ability of the Minerals Management Serv-
2	ice to run an effective Outer Continental Shelf re-
3	source evaluation program that responds to increased
4	interest on OCS areas, including Alaska;
5	(2) the ability of the United States Geological
6	Survey to continue to perform mineral resource sur-
7	veys at the same levels as in previous years; and
8	(3) the continued effective functioning of all cur-
9	rent Bureau of Mines offices.
10	SEC. 49. SENSE OF SENATE REGARDING DIESEL FUEL DYE-
11	ING REGULATIONS.
12	(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that changes made
13	to the collection point of the diesel fuel excise tax made as
14	part of the Omnibus Reconciliation Act of 1993 and the
15	Internal Revenue Service regulations implementing such
16	changes have caused economic hardship, created market dis-
17	tortions, and added burdens to users and suppliers of diesel
18	fuel by—
19	(1) requiring businesses, primarily small entre-
20	preneurs, to invest thousands of dollars in equipment,
21	or choose between taxable and nontaxable users of die-
22	sel fuel, in order to comply with the new rules;
23	(2) imposing cumbersome notification require-
24	ments for marketers and distributors of diesel fuel
25	and home heating oil; and

1	(3) creating shortages of fuel due to storage tank
2	limitations.
3	(b) Sense of the Senate.—It is the sense of the Sen-
4	ate that—
5	(1) the Internal Revenue Service should make
6	every effort to ensure its regulations implementing the
7	changes to the collection point for the diesel fuel excise
8	tax will minimize the economic hardship, market dis-
9	tortions, unnecessary burdens, and supply shortages;
10	(2) such regulations should, to the extent pos-
11	sible, be consistent with Environmental Protection
12	Agency regulations implementing the diesel desulfur-
13	ization program; and
14	(3) if the Internal Revenue Service lacks the au-
15	thority to issue revised regulations consistent with
16	this resolution, then Congress should consider legisla-
17	tion that will eliminate these hardships, distortions,
18	burdens, and shortages.
19	SEC. 50. SENSE OF THE SENATE REGARDING EQUITABLE
20	DISTRIBUTION OF REDUCTIONS IN DISCRE-
21	TIONARY SPENDING.
22	The Senate finds that since the President's fiscal year
23	1995 defense budget request represents the tenth straight
24	year of real cuts in defense and if the President's defense
25	budget request is approved, since 1985 real defense spending

- 1 will have been reduced by 45 percent by 1999; and Presi-
- 2 dent Clinton, during his State of the Union address on Jan-
- 3 uary 25, 1994, promised no further cuts in defense spend-
- 4 ing. Then it is the sense of the Senate that the annual levels
- 5 of the (050) function should be reduced from the President's
- 6 fiscal year 1995-1999 budget request only after other an-
- 7 nual levels of non-defense discretionary spending in the
- 8 budget resolution have been reduced, fairly and appro-
- 9 priately.

10 SEC. 51. STAR WARS (BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE).

- 11 It is the sense of the Congress that given the Federal
- 12 budget deficit, the real reductions in discretionary spending
- 13 in this resolution, and the existence of many more worthy
- 14 programs competing for this funding, spending for the Star
- 15 Wars (Ballistic Missile Defense) must not exceed the fiscal
- 16 year 1994 appropriated level.

17 SEC. 52. CONTROL GROWTH OF ENTITLEMENT OR MANDA-

- 18 TORY SPENDING.
- 19 It is the sense of the Senate that legislation should be
- 20 enacted providing enforceable limits to control the growth
- 21 of entitlement or mandatory spending.

1	SEC. 53. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS ON SHIFTING THE ALLO-
2	CATION OF ANTI-DRUG FUNDS FROM INTER-
3	NATIONAL ANTI-DRUG PROGRAMS TO DRUG
4	TREATMENT AND PREVENTION PROGRAMS.
5	(a) Findings.—The Congress finds that—
6	(1) in 1991 over 11,000 hectares of opium pro-
7	duction were eradicated out of over 238,000 hectares
8	under opium cultivation;
9	(2) in 1992 over 22,000 hectares of opium pro-
10	duction were eradicated, but the amount of hectares
11	under opium cultivation grew to over 255,000 hec-
12	tares;
13	(3) in the face of a successful opium eradication
14	program in 1992, the amount of land under active
15	opium cultivation grew by 6,700 hectares;
16	(4) in 1991 over 6,500 hectares of coca leaf pro-
17	duction were eradicated out of over 212,700 hectares
18	under cultivation;
19	(5) in 1992 fewer than 5,300 hectares of coca leaf
20	production were eradicated, and the amount of hec-
21	tares under active coca leaf cultivation grew to almost
22	217,000;
23	(6) the amount of land under active coca leaf
24	production grew by 5,300 hectares in 1992, and coca
25	leaf production increased by 1,200 metric tons over
26	production in 1991;

- (7) the Drug Enforcement Administration has reported that the purity of cocaine available in the United States has increased since 1990, which demonstrates that adequate supplies of cocaine continue to be produced and smuggled into the United States;
 - (8) the Drug Enforcement Administration has reported that the price of cocaine available in the United States has remained stable or declined since 1990, again demonstrating that adequate supplies of cocaine are being produced and smuggled into the United States;
 - (9) many observers of national drug policy have come to conclude that the efforts of the United States to reduce the supply of drugs through international law enforcement and training, economic development, and crop substitution programs in foreign nations cannot succeed in reducing the supply of drugs available in the United States;
 - (10) recent studies demonstrate that drug treatment and prevention programs have achieved notable success in reducing drug use and associated criminality, including the commission of violent crime by drug users;
- 24 (11) the current national capacity to provide 25 drug treatment falls far short of being able to provide

1	adequate treatment to drug users who need and want
2	treatment;
3	(12) additional resources are needed to add drug
4	treatment capacity and to expand drug prevention
5	programs.
6	(b) Sense of Congress.—It is the sense of the Con-
7	gress that
8	(1) in setting forth the budget authority and out-
9	lay amounts in this resolution, Congress should take
10	note of the failure of past spending to support inter-
11	national anti-drug programs, including but not lim-
12	ited to those of the Agency for International Develop-
13	ment, the Bureau of International Narcotics Matters
14	and the Bureau of Politico-Military Affairs of the De-
15	partment of State, and the Drug Enforcement Admin-
16	istration; and
17	(2) the budget authority and outlay amounts in
18	this resolution should be reallocated from inter-
19	national anti-drug programs to support successful
20	drug treatment and prevention programs that will
21	curb the demand for illegal drugs; and
22	(3) one-half of the budget authority and outlay
23	amounts to combat illegal drugs be expended to reduce
24	the demand for illegal drugs in the United States and

1	one-half of such amounts be expended to reduce the
2	supply of such drugs in the United States; and
3	(4) no budget authority or outlay amounts re-
4	allocated in accordance with the provisions of this
5	section shall be taken from budget authority and out-
6	lay amounts for foreign aid or international develop-
7	ment other than those accounts that support inter-
8	national anti-drug programs.
9	SAC. 54. INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE COMPLIANCE INITIA-
10	TIVE.
11	(a) Adjustments.—For purposes of points of order
12	under the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control
13	Act of 1974 and concurrent resolutions on the budget
14	(1) the discretionary spending limits under sec-
15	tion 601(a)(2) of that Act (and those limits as cumu-
16	latively adjusted) for the current fiscal year and each
17	outwear;
18	(2) the allocations to the Committees on Appro-
19	priations under sections 302(a) and 602(a) of that
20	Act; and
21	(3) the levels for major functional category 800
22	(General Government) and the appropriate budgetary
23	aggregates in the most recently agreed to concurrent
24	resolution on the budget,

shall be adjusted to reflect the amounts of additional new budget authority or additional outlays (as compared with the amounts requested for the Internal Revenue Service in 3 the President's Budget for fiscal year 1995) reported by the Committee on Appropriations in appropriations Acts (or by the committee of conference on such legislation) for the Internal Revenue Service compliance initiative activities in any fiscal year, but not to exceed in any fiscal year 8 \$405,000,000 in new budget authority and \$405,000,000 in 10 outlays. 11 (b) Revised Limits, Allocations, Levels, and Ag-GREGATES.—Upon the reporting of legislation pursuant to 12 subsection (a), and again upon the submission of a conference report on such legislation in either House (if a conference report is submitted), the Chairmen of the Committees on the Budget of the Senate and the House of Represent-16 atives shall file with their respective Houses appropriately 18 revised 19 (1) discretionary spending limits under section 601(a)(2) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 20 (and those limits as cumulatively adjusted) for the 21 22 current fiscal year and each outwear; (2) allocations to the Committees on Appropria-23 24 tions under sections 302(a) and 602(a) of that Act;

H CON RES 218 EAS

and

1	(3) levels for major functional category 800
2	(General Government) and the appropriate budgetary
3	aggregates in the most recently agreed to concurrent
4	resolution on the budget,
5	to carry out this subsection. These revised discretionary
6	spending limits, allocations, functional levels, and aggre-
7	gates shall be considered for purposes of congressional en-
8	forcement under that Act as the discretionary spending lim-
9	its, allocations, functional levels, and aggregates.
10	(c) Reporting Revised Allocations.—The Com-
11	mittees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of
12	Representatives may report appropriately revised alloca-
13	tions pursuant to sections 302(b) and 602(b) of the Congres-
14	sional Budget Act of 1974 to carry out this section.
15	(d) Contingencies.—This section shall not apply to
16	any additional new budget authority or additional outlays
17	unless
18	(1) in the case of such budget authority or out-
19	lays for any fiscal year after fiscal year 1995, the
20	Secretary of the Treasury certifies
21	(A) to the Chairmen of the Committees on
22	the Budget of the Senate and the House of Rep-
23	resentatives, and
24	(B) to the Chairmen of the Committee on
25	Finance of the Senate and the Committee on

1	Ways and Means of the House of Representa-
2	tives,
3	that there has been enacted into law a Taxpayer Bill
4	of Rights 2 which is substantially similar to that con-
5	tained in the conference report to H.R. 11, 102d Con-
6	gress, 2d Session;
7	(2) the Secretary of the Treasury certifies to the
8	chairmen described in paragraph (1)(A) that the In-
9	ternal Revenue Service will initiate and implement
10	an educational program with respect to the Taxpayer
11	Bill of Rights 1 and 2 for any new employees hired
12	pursuant to such budget authority or outlays;
13	(3) the Director of the Congressional Budget Of-
14	fice certifies to the chairmen described in paragraph
15	(1)(A) that such budget authority or outlays will not
16	increase the Federal budget deficit; and
17	(4) any funds made available pursuant to such
18	budget authority or outlays are available only for the
19	purpose of carrying out Internal Revenue Service
20	compliance initiative activities.
21	(e) Sunset.—This section shall expire September 30,
22	1998.
23	SAC. 55. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON CONTROLLING NON-SO-
24	CIAL SECURITY MANDATORY SPENDING.
25	It is the sense of the Senate that the Congress should

1	(1) enact, after health care reform legislation is
2	enacted, annual caps on mandatory spending that
3	take effect beginning in fiscal year 1996;
4	(2) include within such caps all mandatory
5	spending programs except Social Security, deposit in-
6	surance and net interest;
7	(3) provide that the caps shall be set so that pro-
8	grams providing benefits to individuals may grow for
9	inflation, changes in the numbers of beneficiaries, and
10	an additional growth allowance of
11	(A) 4 percent in 1996,
12	(B) 3.5 percent in 1997,
13	(C) 3 percent in 1998, and
14	(D) 2 percent in 1999 and thereafter;
15	(4) provide that the caps shall be adjusted annu-
16	ally in the President's budget for changes in inflation
17	and the number of beneficiaries in mandatory spend-
18	ing programs since the caps were enacted (excluding
19	any changes due to legislation); and
20	(5) provide that if total mandatory spending ex-
21	ceeds the formula in subsection (3), the caps shall be
22	enforced by
23	((A) requiring the President's budget to
24	comply with the caps, including submission of
25	proposals to reduce mandatory spending to stay

1	within the caps if a breach is expected under
2	current law;
3	(B) super majority points-of-order prohibit-
4	ing the consideration of future budget resolutions
5	or legislation that would breach the caps; and
6	(C) at the conclusion of each session of Con-
7	gress, a sequestration procedure that would re-
8	duce mandatory spending by the amount of any
9	breach of the cap in the upcoming year by reduc-
10	ing those programs growing faster than infla-
11	tion, beneficiary changes, and the additional
12	growth allowance for that year.
13	(6) provides for a period of not less than 60 days
14	before such sequestration for committees of the House
15	and the Senate with jurisdiction over mandatory pro-
16	grams which are determined to be exceeding these al-
17	lowable spending levels to report legislation that re-
18	duces direct spending in their jurisdiction by an
19	amount sufficient to eliminate the excess spending;
20	(7) ensures that reductions in Federal spending
21	for mandatory programs required by such legislation

- 1 is not to be achieved by shifting costs to State and
- 2 local governments.

Attest:

Secretary.

- H CON 218 EAS——2
- H CON 218 EAS——3
- H CON 218 EAS——4
- H CON 218 EAS——5
- H CON 218 EAS——6
- H CON 218 EAS——7
- H CON 218 EAS——8